
1.4.2. Structure Feedback Received from the students (Academic 2020-21)

Email Address	Name of the Student	terred II (	m the	_	s (Academic 202	0-21)	
	Carrie of the Student	Departmen	Class	Year	PRN Number	AADHAR No.	Mobile No.
gopalsamadhangg2@gmail.com	Samadhan Gopal	Geography	M.A. II		2019015201032651		
kulkarnidarshana22@gmail.com	Darshana Sachin Kulkarni	Geography		2020-21	2019015201032051		
krishnachavan867@gmail.com	Chavan Krishna ouppii			2020-21	2020015200922126	53931209263	09325455651
meghakulkarni1207@gmail.com	**	Geography		2020-21	2016015200932286	202430260325	9604422935
afreenPatel480@gmail.com	wegna martandrao kulkarni	Geography	M.A. II	2020-21	2015015200275795	916360689598	
	Patel Afreen begum Waheed P	Geography	M.A. II	2020-21	2021015200821645		
valviriklema02@gmail.com	Valvi Riklema Arvind	Geography			2021013200821845		
		Deography	W.A. II	2020-21	2020015200922103	502718028889	8412981860

Department of Geography,

"r. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University
Aurangabad

1.4.2 Structured Feedback received from the students (Academic Year 2020-21)



From the chart, it is clear that most respondents rated the clarity of course and program objectives and outcomes as either Excellent or Outstanding, with fewer respondents rating it as Good.




This indicates that there is a split in responses regarding the course material. While 33% rated it as Good and 33% rated it as Outstanding, suggesting that they found the material to be of high quality, up-to-date, and appropriately sized, 17% rated it as Average and 17% rated it as Excellent, indicating a less clear consensus on the quality and appropriateness of the course material.

Department of Geography,

Pr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University
Aurangabad



This indicates that respondents had varied opinions about the program's focus on skill development, employability, and entrepreneurship. While 34% rated it as Good and 33% rated it as Excellent and Outstanding, suggesting a positive perception, there was no rating for Average, indicating that overall, the perception of the program's focus in these areas is positive among the respondents.




This indicates that the majority of respondents (50%) reported that the teacher used ICT tools for teaching every time, suggesting a consistent integration of technology in the teaching process. 33% reported that the teacher used ICT tools some time, indicating a less frequent but still regular use. 17% reported that the teacher never used ICT tools for teaching.


Department of Geography,

Or.Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University

Aurangabad




This indicates that the majority of respondents (67%) reported that the teacher was fair and unbiased in the examination process every time. 16% reported that the teacher was fair and unbiased occasionally, suggesting that there may have been some instances where fairness was perceived differently. None of the respondents reported that the teacher was fair and unbiased only sometimes, indicating a generally positive perception of fairness in the examination process.




This indicates that the majority of respondents (67%) reported that the teaching method was effective in achieving the objectives of the course every time. 33% reported that the teaching method was effective some time, suggesting occasional instances where the effectiveness of the teaching method may have varied. None of the respondents reported that the teaching method was effective only occasionally or never, indicating a generally positive perception of the effectiveness of the teaching method.

Department of Geography, Department of Geography, Department of Geography, Aurangabad




This indicates that the vast majority of respondents (83%) reported that the teaching method allowed adequate opportunity for student participation and discussion every time. 17% reported that the teaching method allowed for student participation and discussion occasionally, suggesting that while there were opportunities for participation and discussion, they may not have been consistent. None of the respondents reported that the teaching method allowed for student participation and discussion only some time or never, indicating a generally positive perception of the level of student engagement facilitated by the teaching method.




This indicates that the majority of respondents (50%) rated the institute's ICT facilities as Excellent, suggesting that they found the facilities to be of high quality and fully sufficient for their needs. 33% rated the facilities as Good, indicating a moderate level of satisfaction. 17% rated the facilities as Very Good, suggesting a slightly lower but still positive perception. None

Department of Geography, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University Aurangabad of the respondents rated the facilities as Average, indicating that overall, the perception of the ICT facilities provided by the institute is positive.




This indicates that the majority of respondents (67%) rated the library, infrastructure, and laboratory facilities of the university as Excellent, suggesting that they found these facilities to be of high quality and highly satisfactory. 33% rated the facilities as Good, indicating a moderate level of satisfaction. None of the respondents rated the facilities as Average or Very Good, indicating that overall, the perception of these facilities is positive among the respondents.



This indicates that the majority of respondents (50%) rated the extra-curricular environment of the university as Excellent, suggesting that they found the opportunities and activities outside of academics to be satisfactory and fulfilling. 33% rated the environment as Good, indicating a moderate level of satisfaction. 17% rated it as Very Good, suggesting a slightly lower but still

Department of Geography,
Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University
Aurangabad

positive perception. None of the respondents rated the extra-curricular environment as Average, indicating that overall, the perception of the extra-curricular environment is positive among the respondents.




This indicates that the majority of respondents (67%) rated the overall academic environment in the university as Excellent, suggesting that they found the academic atmosphere to be highly conducive to learning and growth. 17% rated it as Very Good, indicating a slightly lower but still positive perception. 16% rated it as Good, suggesting a moderate level of satisfaction. None of the respondents rated the overall academic environment as Average, indicating that overall, the perception of the academic environment is positive among the respondents.

# Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad, Sub-campus, Osmanabad Name of the Department: English

11 responses	
Publish analytics	
Personal Details (Compulsory)	
Name of the Student:	
11 responses	
Dikare Sonam Sunil	
Bhong laxman chandrakant	
Megha	
Jadhav Rupali Dinkar	
Roshani Khandu Bansode	
Ashwini shriram vharkate	
Valekar Sukeshani Valekar	
Rameshwari sukhdev solankar	
Shaikh Fayyaj Makbul	
Nikita Balasaheb Payal	
Sujata bhimrao kamble	







11 responses

M.A.S.Y.

MA II

M. A.

Alumni

MA 2nd Year

Ma 2 nd year


First year

MA second year

M.A

 $\mathsf{MA}$ 

MA. 2nd year



## PRN

11 responses


ADHAR No.	
11 responses	
412361280287	
218809025929	
505304837593	
987814973058	
455685252831	
539661883201	
208767124840	
613037608200	
481242441118	
2586 3682 4757	
438502340633	





Mobile No.		
11 responses		
9322506040		
9168886683		
9762160383		
9422141473		
8485017236		
8080036851		
09011189315		
9145396232		
9657434745		
7499201342		
7840992087		




## Email Id 11 responses sonamdikare@gmail.com laxmanbhong11995@gmail.com meghaangarkhe97@gmail.com rupalijadhav0609@gmail.com roshani2017b@gmail.com ashvinivharkate2000@gmail.com Sukeshanivalekar90@gmail.com Solankar1993@gmail.com Fayajms7@gmail.com nikitapayal2020@gmail.com Sujatlondhe01@gmail.com Please read the following questions carefully and choose the relevant **I** Copy response. Your feedback is valuable for the development of the University The Course& Programme objectives & outcomes were clearly defined &identified? 11 responses Outstanding Excellent Good 45.5% Average











This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

No suggestions

None

None

Google Forms





## Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad

### **Department Of Fine Art**

## Minutes of the Departmental Committee held on 12 ,January 2021

## Action Taken on the basis feedback for the year 2020-21

The meeting of the Departmental committee was convened on 06, August **2021** to discuss the student feedback, its analysis and to prepare a comprehensive action plan for overall enhancement in quality of teaching learning process.

The student feedback and its analysis was discussed thoroughly and its was resolved to initiate following action

- 1) The Department plan and suggest to our faculty to express course programmer objective & outcomes more cleared in further every class
- 2) The department do more develop high quality course material in next academic year.
- 3) Department suggested out teacher to use more ICT tools in teaching learning process (PPT, videos, online lectures etc.)
- 4) Department incise & provides out ICT facility in the campus (Computer, Internet, LCD projectors, Wi-Fi etc. )
- 5) Department plan to develop our departmental library, art related infrastructure, practical studio& art gallery.

6) Department plan to give more our extra curricular environment in the department with organizing more relate programs.

Head Department of fine art

Head

Signature of members of department committee

1) Dr. S. S. Ambekar.

2) Dr. Gajanan Peherkar.

Department of Fine Art, Dr. Babasahob Ambedkar Maramwada University, Auran, 12ad



## Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, Aurangabad : 2020 - 21

15 responses

Publish analytics

*U* 

## Name of the Student

15 responses

Shekhar Ramesh Sawant

Shailesh Shamrao Raut

Priyanka subhash kale

Rushikesh Ashok Bhandare

Pravin mahadeo Mukhekar

Manipadma Maruti Harshvardhan

Avdhut vinayak Potphode

Swapnil devaji badabe

Asif Sharief Shaikh

Mrs. PRACHI kunal Sansare


Abhijeet dattatray kamble

Pawan Kumar

NAGESH MARUTI HANKARE


JASBINDER SINGH

Jaya Tanaji Naik



15 responses

□ Сору




Applied Art (Fine Art)Painting (Fine Art)

## Class

15 responses





B.F.A. bridge Course : Applied Art

B.F.A. bridge Course : Painting

M.F.A. Regular Applied Art

M.F.A. Regular Painting


M.F.A. By Research Applied Art

M.F.A. By Research Painting

## Year of Admission

14 responses

□ Сору





## PRN No.

7 responses

2020015200935003

2020015200934994

2020015200934785

2020015200934882

2020015200934866

2020015200934812

## ADHAR Card No.

15 responses

Mobile No.	Мо	bile	No.
------------	----	------	-----


15 responses

77 74 85 92 12

1) The Course & Programme objectives & outcomes were clearly defined & identified ?

О Сору


15 responses




2) Course Material were appropriate in length, high quality and up-to-date?

□ Сору

15 responses




15 responses



4) The teacher used ICT tools for teaching (PPT, Videos, online lectures Copy etc.) ?


15 responses



5) The teacher wasfair and unbiased in examination process?

□ Сору


15 responses



6) The teaching method was effective to achieve the objectives of the course?

[☐ Сору


15 responses



7) The teaching method allowed adequate opportunity for student participation and discussion ?

О Сору


15 responses



8) Does the Institute provides adequate ICT facilities (Computer, LCD Projects, Internet, Wi-Fi etc.) within campus ?

□ Сору


14 responses



9) How would you rate the Library, Infrastructure and Laboratory facilities of the University?

□ Сору


15 responses



10) How would you rate the extra-curricular environment of the University?

□ Сору


15 responses



11) How do you grade the overall academic environment in the University?

□ Сору

15 responses



•	Suggestions if any
	12 responses
	Yes
	No
	For fine art fackelty full perment profecer Staff and course increase in department of fine art
	Doing Well No suggestions
	No suggestion
	No suggetion
	Very nice and helpful teachers
	No
	Every faculty member of fine art department is very cooperative and excellent in teaching.
	Good
	Nil
	No everything is perfect
	This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Trivacy rolls

Google Forms



